CF0000059201

CrA 876/90

2

XII-W8/(Jail)
C.J. C. R.-2000 6-88

Main Bench (Criminal)

APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 859 Name ललेलू

Father's name परशोतम गोंड साकिन सेलूढ थाना- डतई

Residing at दुर्ग म०प्र० Age 30 वर्ष,

Sentenced 7 वर्ष कठोर अर्थ दंड on 28.11.1989

Under section रु- 200/ एवम 2 माह 376 भा०दं० वि० by न्यायालय द्वितीय अति० सत्र न्यायाधीश दुर्ग म०प्र० S.T. No 91/88

High Court of Madhya Pradesh JABALPUR Recd. on Registration No. Receipt Clerk High Court, Jabalpur

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may not heard at all. If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 28.11.1989

2 Date of which copy received 23.3.1990

3 Date on which Appeal sent 4.1990

whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not – Yes / No.

No. 859 Name ललेलू आत्मज परशोतम गोंड

Continued in केन्द्रीय जेल Jail रायपुर (म०प्र०)

R.C. 440/सजतकोस/ Dated 23.4.1990 198

Forward to the ~~CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE~~ माननीय अति० पंजीयक महोदय उच्च न्यायालय म.प्र. जबलपुर (म.प्र.)

together which a copy of order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the Hon'ble Appellate Court.

Superintendent
Jail

Date of receipt in C. J. M's office

Date of receipt record to accompany

Memo of Appeal of the Appellate court 28/11/89 to 23/4/90 Dated 198

Forwarded to the 2 NOV 31 D 31 J 28 F 31 M 23 A

C. J. Magistrate

Date of receipt in Appellate Court

146 - 60 = 86 TB

(P. T. O.)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cri.A. No. 876 of 90 सन् ~~200~~

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	06-11-2001 None for the appellant. Shri R.K. Jajodiya, With Ms. S. Singhai, Panel Lawyer, for the State. It is stated that the appellant has already undergone the jail sentence and the appeal has become infructuous. The appeal is dismissed as infructuous with liberty to revive afresh in case any cause of action still survives. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

T.S.R./